

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 12895, 12949 & 12878 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

PANCHAL RASIK KANUBHAI

Versus

DIRECTOR OF PRIMARY EDUCATION

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 12895, 12949 & 12878 of 2003
MR.SUBHASH G BAROT, Mr.R.K.Mansuri and Mr.Vijay
Raval for respective petitioner.
Ms.Panchal for Respondent No. 1-2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date of decision: 02/09/2003

ORAL(COMMON) JUDGEMENT

Rule. Ms.Panchal, Ld.AGP waives service of rule on behalf of respondents. With the consent of Ld.counsel for parties matters are taken up for final hearing.

2. Having heard Ld.counsel for parties, there is consensus that these petitions are covered by the decision, dated 25.8.03 of this court in SCA No.12235/03 (Zaranben vs State of Gujarat) and therefore no detailed reasons are required to be recorded.

3. In view of the above, following directions are required to be issued:

(A) All those petitioners who have applied prior to 13th July, 2003 and if their cases are similarly situated then 15 marks shall not be deducted while preparing the merit list in view of the decision, dated 13.8.03. of this court in case of Zaranben(supra). However, the authorities shall not be required to comply with these directions in case the judgment of this court in case of Zaranben(supra) is stayed and/or modified and/or reversed by the higher forum known to law and in such circumstances it will be open for the State authorities to give effect accordingly.

(B) If any of the petitioners who have applied late in time, i.e. after 13th July, 2003 or if their applications otherwise are not to be considered eligible in view of other aspects would not be entitled to the benefit of direction No.(A) with a clarification that in the event of rejection of such applications by the authorities, it would be open to such petitioners to challenge the said action in accordance with law.

4. All these petitions are disposed of in terms of aforesaid directions. Rule in each petition is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Considering the facts and circumstances, there shall be no costs.

DS permitted.

2.9.03 (JAYANT PATEL, J)

